

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): May I know the date of promulgation of this rule?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is in the University Calendar.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Is there any Professor appointed by our Government directly in conformity with this rule?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): After the promulgation of these rules, we have to appoint in conformity with them or get the permission of the University for not appointing in conformity with their rules.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): That is what *ought to be*. I want to know what is being done?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): If any particular instance is mentioned, I shall refer to the records and I shall then be able to say.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): In the case of any Professor who was appointed from outside service, has this rule been observed in recent years?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Well, I must look up the papers connected with their appointments. I cannot say anything off-hand here.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Then, what is the basis on which these appointments are given?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The rules of the University.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): So far as our Government are concerned?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): We have to follow those rules or get their permission for not following their rules.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Is it the rule that the rules of the University may be departed from, if the University allows it?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That is not the rule. If, in any case, we are obliged to appoint a man without the qualifications laid down by the University, we will have to get their consent.

Supplementary list of passes, E. S. L. C. Examination, 1932.

431. MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether a supplementary list of successful candidates, who sat for the English School Leaving Examination in 1932, was issued and then withdrawn;

(b) whether some of the candidates got admission to the colleges and then had to leave them in consequence of the withdrawal of the list; and

(c) why and on what authority and by whom it was withdrawn or cancelled?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (a) and (c) The member is referred to the Press Note, dated the 5th July 1932, issued by the Publicity Officer on the subject, copy* of which is laid on the table.

(b) One case of a student who was admitted in the Science College, but was subsequently declared ineligible, has come to the notice of the Department.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): I want to know whether the Registrar authorised the publication of the list?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): He did not authorise the publication.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): When the Registrar requests the Director to prepare a supplement, is it authority enough for preparing and publishing a list?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That used to be 'authority enough' in past years.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Is it authority enough now?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It used to be. That is all that I can say.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): My question is whether it is authority now?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The member can draw his inference from what I have said.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): The inference I have to draw is that it is not authority now?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): May be.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): According to the member, has it ceased to be an authority now?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): That depends upon whether we get the University's permission in future to publish in advance.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): May I know whether such permission will hereafter be taken?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Generally not.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Was it after the publication of this letter that the list was intimated to the University?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Yes.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): May I know whether the Registrar had anything to say in explanation of his conduct which misled the Director?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): He did not deny it.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): So, he merely admitted that he misled the Director and the matter ended there?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): I do not know the exact details of his letter. Anyhow, I understand from the Director that the Registrar did not deny.

* Vide Appendix II—page i.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, I wish to know whether we are thoroughly helpless in matters like these and whether the University is at liberty to mislead us?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): It is a matter which is under correspondence.

MR. A. THANU PILLAI (*Trivandrum*): Sir, will the Government try to have a University of *their own* as early as possible?

Expenditure on the Law's Delays Commissioner.

432. MR. E. V. KRISHNA PILLAI (*Kottarakara cum Kunnattur*): Will the Government be pleased to state the amount spent on the Law's Delays enquiry including the cost of printing the report relating thereto?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): A sum of S. Rs. 42,865—Chs. 4—Cash 2.

Expenditure on the Law's Delays Commission.

433. MR. K. P. ABRAHAM (*Muvattupuzha cum Todupuzha*): Will the Government be pleased to state the total amount of expenditure incurred on account of the Law's Delays Commissioner and his office?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): Rs. 42,865—Chs. 4—Cash 2.

Collection of evidence by the Law's Delays Commissioner.

434. MR. K. P. ABRAHAM (*Muvattupuzha cum Todupuzha*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Law's Delays Commissioner in the course of his enquiry examined and collected evidence from the practising vakils in the State;

(b) the date on which the report of the Law's Delays Commissioner was submitted to them; and

(c) the action taken by them on the report?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): (a) Yes.

(b) 4th May 1932.

(c) The recommendations of the Law's Delays Commissioner have been referred to the High Court. The High Court are considering the suggestions made by the Law's Delays Commissioner. The High Court have also made a few specific recommendations and these are being considered by the Government.

MR. K. P. ABRAHAM (*Muvattupuzha cum Todupuzha*): With reference to the answer to part (c), may I know what specific recommendations were made?

MR. K. GEORGE (*Chief Secretary to Government*): The appointment temporarily of the Fourth Bench of the High Court, the establishment of a District Court at Mavelikara and the appointment of certain additional Munsiffs.

Appendix I.

Vide Answer to Question No. 424.

Statement, by caste, of volunteers in the Anchal Department.

Caste.	No. of applications received.	No. of applications sanctioned.	No. of applications rejected.	No. of applicants joined.
Kammalas	6	4	2	2
Cheramars (Pulayas)	2	..	2	..
Other depressed classes	10	6	4	2
Sambavar (Paraya)	1	..	1	..
Ezhavas	21	13	8	9
Muslims	3	3	..	1
Roman Catholics	33	23	10	8

Appendix II.

Vide Answer to Question No. 431.

Press Room Section.

Press Note.

It appeared from the comments published in certain newspapers that considerable misapprehension prevailed among the public regarding the issue of a Supplemental List of eligible candidates of the English School Leaving Certificate Examination of 1932. The following facts are therefore published for information.

As in previous years the Director of Public Instruction received a letter from the Registrar of the Madras University requesting him to prepare a Supplemental List of candidates who would become eligible for University courses of study under the Rules of moderation, a copy of which was forwarded to him. In accordance with this letter and with the practice of previous years, such a Supplemental List was immediately prepared and published and the necessary certificates were issued. Subsequently, a communication was received by the Director from the Registrar to the effect that the Syndicate had not decided whether any moderation of the School Final results need be effected this year and therefore the Supplemental List should be provisionally cancelled. The Registrar was informed by the Director that the action taken by him was in conformity with the instructions received and that the certificates had already been issued. The Syndicate met on June 27th, 1932, and decided that the rules of moderation should be modified and a revised Supplemental List should be issued. As the result of this decision, 143 candidates out of 148 in the original Supplemental List have been declared eligible for University courses of study.

Huzur Cutcherry,
Trivandrum.
5th July 1932.
Vol. xxi . No. 14

Sd/. R. PADMANABHA AIYAR,
Publicity Officer.